



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,208	07/29/2003	William Ortner	SSB0009-US	5479
75127	7590	09/30/2008	EXAMINER	
KING & SPALDING LLP (CITI CUSTOMER NUMBER)			BORLINGHAUS, JASON M.	
ATTN: GEORGE T. MARCOU			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1700 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW				3693
SUITE 200				
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/30/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/628,208	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ORTNER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> JASON M. BORLINGHAUS	<b>Art Unit</b> 3693

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JASON M. BORLINGHAUS. (3) ERIC SOPHIR.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Borlinghaus and Applicant's Attorney Sophir discussed potential 101 rejections stemming from new office policies regarding 101 interpretation since the non-final rejection was issued on 04/04/08. Examiner and Attorney discussed possible methods to remedy 101 concerns. Examiner issued a new non-final rejection citing the new 101 rejections as discussed with Attorney, providing Attorney with time to formulate a formal written response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jason M Borlinghaus/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3693